PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WEST VIRGINIA

General Investigation to determine whether West Virginia should adopt a plan for open access to the electric power supply market and for the development of a deregulation plan. Case No. 98-0452-E-GI

Direct Testimony of Timothy Woolf

On Behalf of The Consumer Advocate Division

June 15, 1999

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
II.	SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Exhil	oit TW-1: Resume of Timothy Woolf.
Exhil	oit TW-2: Measures to Ensure Fair Competition and Protect Consumers In a
	Restructured Electricity Industry in West Virginia, prepared for the
	Consumer Advocate Division, prepared by Synapse Energy Economics,
	June 15, 1999.

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

- 2 Q. What is your name, position and business address?
- 3 A. My name is Timothy Woolf. I am the Vice-President of Synapse Energy
- 4 Economics, Inc, 22 Crescent Street, Cambridge, MA 02138.
- 5 Q. Please describe Synapse Energy Economics.
- 6 A. Synapse Energy Economics is a consulting firm specializing in electricity industry
- 7 restructuring, regulation and planning. Synapse works for a variety of clients,
- 8 with an emphasis on consumer advocates, regulatory commissions, and
- 9 environmental advocates.

- 10 Q. Please describe your experience in the area of electric utility restructuring, regulation and planning.
- 12 A. My experience is summarized in my resume, which is attached as Exhibit TW-1.
- Electric power system planning and regulation have been a major focus of my
- professional activities for the past seventeen years. In my current position at
- Synapse, I investigate a variety of issues related to the restructuring of the electric
- industry; with a focus on market power, stranded costs, performance-based
- 17 ratemaking, customer aggregation, information disclosure, air quality, energy
- efficiency and many aspects of consumer protection.
- 19 Q. Please describe your professional experience before beginning your current position at Synapse Energy Economics.
- 21 A. Before joining Synapse Energy Economics, I was the Manager of the Electricity
- Program at Tellus Institute, a consulting firm in Boston, Massachusetts. In that
- 23 capacity I managed a staff that provided research, testimony, reports and
- regulatory support to state energy offices, regulatory commissions, consumer
- advocates and environmental organizations in the US. Prior to working for Tellus
- Institute, I was employed as the Research Director of the Association for the
- Conservation of Energy in London, England. I have also worked as a Staff
- Economist at the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities, and a Policy
- 29 Analyst at the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy Resources. I hold a

- 1 Masters in Business Administration from Boston University, as well as a BS in
- 2 Mechanical Engineering and a BA in English from Tufts University.
- 3 Q. On whose behalf are you testifying in this case?
- 4 A. I am testifying on behalf of the Consumer Advocate Division (CAD).
- 5 Q. Have you testified previously in this docket?
- 6 A. No, I have not.
- 7 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony.
- 8 A. The purpose of my testimony is to address the first set of issues identified by the
- 9 Public Service Commission (the Commission) in its procedural order in this case,
- dated December 23, 1998. In that order the Commission scheduled evidentiary
- hearings to further investigate some of the electricity industry restructuring issues
- that have been debated in a series of workshop meetings in 1997 and 1998. In
- particular, the Commission sought input on (1) certification, licensing, bonding,
- etc. of competitive electric suppliers; reliability of power supply; universal
- service; and consumer protection, and (2) code of conduct.
- I have prepared a report for the Consumer Advocate Division on these issues.
- 17 The report is attached to my testimony as Exhibit TW-2. The primary purpose of
- my testimony is to present this report to the Commission, and to summarize the
- 19 major recommendations.
- 20 Q. How is your testimony organized?
- A. My testimony is organized as follows:
- I. Introduction and Qualifications.
- 23 II. Summary of Conclusions and Recommendations.

II. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2 Q. Please describe your overall approach to the Phase I issues identified by the Commission in this proceeding.
- A. The Commission has set forth several specific issues to be addressed in Phase I, such as codes of conduct and licensing standards. However, in order to address any of these specific issues it is first necessary to discuss an overall approach to the transcendent problem of market power of existing electric utilities.

8 Q. What do you mean by market power of existing utilities?

1

- 9 Α For many years, existing electric utilities have owned and controlled the facilities 10 necessary to generate, transmit and distribute electricity to retail customers. They 11 also have customer information, customer contacts, name recognition, financial 12 backing, trained employees, and experienced corporate management that has 13 accrued over time as a result of operating as a regulated monopoly within their 14 service territories. All of these attributes provide existing electric utilities with 15 opportunities to discriminate against competing generation marketers, to cross-16 subsidize competitive business activities with regulated business activities, or to 17 behave in ways that inhibit the development of a fully-competitive electricity 18 market. Market power is the term used to describe the ability to conduct any such 19 anti-competitive behavior.
- Q. Please summarize your primary recommendations with regard to vertical market power and the divestiture of generation assets.
- 22 A. Divestiture of generation assets is necessary to mitigate vertical market power 23 problems and promote a fully competitive electricity market. In the absence of 24 divestiture, vertically integrated electric utilities would have too much opportunity 25 to influence the ability of competing generation companies to gain access to the 26 transmission and distribution (T&D) system. Just the perception of market power 27 abuse by a vertically integrated utility can dampen the competitive market by 28 discouraging potential market entrants.
 - Divestiture, on the other hand, creates a clear, immediate and permanent boundary between the operator of the transmission and distribution system and the

29

competing generation companies. I recommend that the Commission take all steps within its jurisdiction to encourage the utilities in West Virginia to divest all of their generation assets. Additional considerations related to divestiture are discussed in the testimony of CAD witness Byron Harris.

5 Q. Is divestiture alone sufficient to eliminate the potential for vertical market power problems in a restructured electricity industry.

No, it is not. Distribution utilities that have affiliated generation marketing companies create many of the same risks of anti-competitive behavior and cross-subsidization as vertically integrated utilities. Consequently, I recommend that generation marketing companies that are affiliated with a distribution utility be strictly prohibited from selling power within that utility's service territory.

As an alternative, but less desirable, approach the Commission could impose a temporary ban of at least five years on generation marketing companies from selling power within the service territory of an affiliated distribution company. At a minimum, the Commission should require that generation marketing companies limit their operations within an affiliated utility's service territory to a maximum of 20 percent of the total energy market.

Q. Please summarize your primary recommendations with regard to codes of conduct.

The study attached as Exhibit TW-2 describes some of the key principles to use in designing a code of conduct. In sum, the Commission should recognize that codes of conduct offer only very limited protection from anti-competitive behavior. Competitive generation and marketing affiliates will naturally seek to maximize the advantages of their relationship with the utility -- up to the limits imposed by regulatory intervention. Codes of conduct are difficult to monitor and enforce, and are easy to circumvent, because of the many transactions that can take place between a utility and its affiliates that go undetected by the Commission, competitive generation companies, or customers. Consequently, I recommend that the Commission establish codes that are clear and comprehensive, promote greater separation between a utility and its affiliate, and prohibit many types of transactions that could lead to anti-competitive behavior.

A.

Q. Do you have specific recommendations for a code of conduct that would apply in West Virginia?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. Yes. I recommend that the code of conduct proposed by the "Competitors' Subcommittee" during last summer's workshop sessions be used as the basis for a code of conduct in West Virginia. This code is much more comprehensive and clear than the alternative code proposed by the "Utility Subcommittee." I further recommend that the Competitors' proposal be strengthened in the following ways:
 - Utilities should be required to make additional information available to the Commission and others, including a list of all affiliated businesses and a "discount report" that includes details about any and all discounts that are offered to affiliates.
 - All publicly available information that the utility is required to provide to competing generation and marketing companies should be posted on the utility's web site, and located on a page that is directly and conspicuously linked to the home page.
 - The books and records of the utility and its affiliates should be open to inspection by the Commission, Commission Staff and the Consumer Advocate Division, with respect to transactions between the utility and its affiliates.
 - The code should prohibit the transfer between a utility and its affiliates of employees that work with information and have knowledge that would provide an affiliate with an advantage in competitive markets.
 - The code should establish more clear boundaries for what type of corporate support might be shared between a utility and its affiliates.
 Corporate officers and board members should only be shared if an electric distribution company and its affiliates are controlled by a holding company. A board member or corporate officer should only be allowed to serve the holding company and either the electric utility or its affiliate, but not both.

- Utilities and their affiliates should be prohibited from making any joint purchases of goods and services. Joint purchases might result in subtle and undetectable forms of cross-subsidization between regulated and unregulated companies.
- The code of conduct should place certain limits on how much of an investment a utility can make in its affiliates. The code should prohibit a utility from investing more than five percent of the its capitalization in an affiliate, without specific approval from the Commission.
- The code should include a section that describes the extent to which a
 utility can offer new or competitive services. All new products and
 services should be provided through affiliates. A utility should only be
 allowed to offer products and services that are currently being provided, or
 are unbundled versions of existing utility products and services.
- The code of conduct should include additional language regarding regulatory oversight and enforcement mechanisms. The code should include provisions that enable violations to be detected as soon as possible, and allow for expeditious resolution of complaints and disputes.

Q. Please summarize your primary recommendations with regard to licensing standards.

Licensing standards are important as a means of ensuring that retail electric suppliers offer quality services to customers at fair terms and conditions. Licensing standards should be designed to achieve an appropriate balance between filtering out non-viable applicants, but not creating barriers to entry that could stifle competition. I recommend that the "Sample Application," developed during the subcommittee workshops last year, be used as the basis for developing licensing standards regulations in West Virginia. These regulations should clearly identify the conditions necessary for a retail supplier to maintain a license in good standing. Such conditions should include, for example, compliance with all relevant reliability requirements; compliance with any relevant code of conduct; compliance with information disclosure requirements; and compliance with any

A.

1 consumer protection standards established by the Commission, such as standards
2 against slamming and cramming and standards pertaining to customer billing and
3 termination.

4 Q. Please summarize your primary recommendations with regard to reliability and service quality issues.

6 I recommend that the Commission require all distribution companies to file A. 7 annual reports documenting a number of reliability and service quality issues. If 8 the Commission observes a deterioration in reliability or service quality over time, 9 then it should adopt comprehensive performance standards, including penalties 10 for inferior performance. I also recommend that a supplier of last resort be 11 established to ensure all customers have constant access to generation services, 12 but that retail electricity suppliers bear the responsibility for any costs associated 13 with not meeting their obligations to provide generation services.

14 Q. Please summarize your primary recommendations with regard to low-income customers.

16 A. I support the two main provisions to protect low-income customers in a 17 competitive electricity market. First, the 20 percent low-income rate discount 18 should be continued. Second, a low-income system benefits charge should be 19 established and dedicated primarily to low-income energy efficiency and 20 weatherization services.

Q. Please summarize your primary recommendations with regard to consumer education and uniform disclosure of information.

A. Both a well-designed consumer education program and uniform requirements for information disclosure are necessary to ensure customer participation, protect customers from misleading marketing efforts, and maximize the potential benefits of a competitive electricity market. Information disclosure requirements should be applied to all suppliers of retail electricity, should be uniform and standardized as much as possible, and should be made readily available to consumers at key points in their decision-making process.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Should the Commission have the power to impose fines or penalties for violations of the code of conduct, reliability requirements, consumer protection measures, or licensing requirements?
- 4 A. Yes. The Commission may need to take remedial actions to enforce these requirements, including fines and penalties. I recommend that if a plan is adopted for restructuring the electricity industry, the Commission seek specific authority from the legislature to impose fines and penalties for violations of the code of conduct, reliability requirements, consumer protection measures, and licensing requirements.
- 10 Q. Why is if appropriate for the Commission to have the authority to impose fines or penalties to enforce these requirements?
- 12 A. Fines and penalties provide the Commission with a flexible means of enforcing the various consumer protection measures. Fines and penalties can be tailored --13 in terms of amount, timing, duration, or other variables -- to match the severity of 14 15 the violation. In the absence of fined or penalties, the Commission's primary 16 option for enforcing codes of conduct and licensing standards is to revoke the 17 license of a retail supplier. The Commission is likely to be hesitant to utilize this 18 option because of the impact on the retail supplier, and because it would eliminate 19 one of the competitors in the market, thereby working against the goal of 20 increasing competition in the electricity market. If retail suppliers come to 21 recognize this hesitancy by the Commission, they might discount the threat of 22 having their license revoked.
- 23 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.